IJEBMRI
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

https://ijebmri.org

International Journal of Economics, Business, Management Research Intelligence (IJEBMRI)

Original Article

Organizational Resilience Through Recessions

Anitha Radhakrishnan, Darani Kannan

[1]*PG Scholar, Cardamom Planters Association College Bodinayakanur, India.*

[2] *PG Research Scholar, Department of Women Empowerment, Bharathidasan University, India.*

Received Date: 30 August 2025	Revised Date: 15 September 2024	Accepted Date: 23 September 2025

Abstract

The COVID-19 epidemic exposed weaknesses affecting world trade and company continuity, therefore highlighting the vital need for supply chain resilience. This research investigates how artificial intelligence (AI) technologies improve supply chain resilience, especially in times of economic recession-like disturbance. It starts by pointing out important weaknesses in conventional supply chains and then looks at how AI-driven solutions—such as predictive analytics, machine learning, and autonomous systems—may reduce these hazards by allowing real-time visibility, demand forecasting, and operational optimization.

By means of a thematic study of current literature, one gains understanding of the strategic importance of artificial intelligence in equipping companies to withstand shocks, react fast, and effectively recover. Case studies in manufacturing, retail, and logistics, among other fields, show useful results and applications of artificial intelligence integration. According to the report, artificial intelligence not only increases operational efficiency but also helps agility and flexibility—qualities absolutely vital in recessionary times. It also underlines the need for data-driven decision-making in guaranteeing continuity and competitiveness.

We also cover issues including ethical questions, data protection, implementation complexity, and the requirement of labor reskilling. The article ends with stressing the need for proactive adoption of AI technology to build supply chain resilience and implying a road map for companies trying to include artificial intelligence in their resilience strategy. This study advances knowledge of how artificial intelligence might be used to create flexible, strong, future-ready supply networks in a world going more and more uncertain.

First introduction

Usually accompanied by a drop in GDP, increasing unemployment rates, reduced consumer spending, and general business uncertainty, economic recessions are protracted periods of economic downturn. Financial crises, world pandemics, political unrest, or changes in key sectors can all be sources of these downturns. Whatever their source, recessions provide major difficulties for companies of all kinds and sizes. Often resulting in cost-cutting actions, downsizing, and, in extreme circumstances, company closures, the pressure to keep operations, protect jobs, and maintain market share can be great.

Though recessions present challenges, not all companies give in to financial crises. Conversely, history reveals that some companies survive, adjust, and even flourish during these demanding times. Often distinguished by their capacity to react quickly to changing circumstances, innovate under duress, and make strategic decisions guaranteeing long-term viability are these strong companies. They not only survive the storm but also show up more competitive and suited for the post-recession environment. This phenomenon begs a crucial issue: what distinguishes these strong companies from others that fail?

Scholars as well as practitioners depend on an awareness of the elements influencing organizational resilience during recessionary times. It offers an understanding of how businesses could keep their operations running under difficult circumstances and get ready for economic crises. Resilience is sometimes attributed to elements including leadership quality, financial discipline, flexibility, technological integration, and close relationships among stakeholders. Furthermore, very important in navigating times of economic upheaval are diversity, supply chain optimization, labor involvement, and innovation.

The main causes of organizational resilience during economic downturns are aimed to be investigated in this paper. The study intends to identify common qualities, strategic actions, and decision-making frameworks that have helped some companies endure and recover from economic shocks by analyzing how different companies have behaved in historical recessions. Special focus will be on internal capabilities—such as leadership, culture, and operational agility—as well as outside alliances, including customer relationships and partnerships supporting resilience.

Moreover, the study will take into account how organizational reactions are affected by the type and degree of several recessions. We will also examine sector-specific tactics and contextual flexibility, as not all downturns affect sectors or regions equally. By means of both qualitative and quantitative analysis, this study will present a thorough knowledge of the resilience-building process and provide useful advice for companies striving to strengthen themselves against the next economic shocks.

Building organizational resilience is more important than ever in a global economy growing in volatility, where unanticipated events can cause major economic downturns. This paper adds to the larger conversation on organizational sustainability, strategic planning, and crisis management by providing a framework for resilience applicable in many sectors and economic environments.

The first 1.1 is Investigative Goals.

- To describe organizational resilience in the framework of recessionary times.
- To investigate past recession organizational reactions in historical patterns.
- To pinpoint optimal practices and tactics applied by strong companies.
- To offer a structure for increasing resilience in the next recessionary times.

Second: Review of Literature

2.1. Creating Organizational Resiliency

Particularly in the face of growing economic uncertainties and worldwide shocks, organizational resiliency has become a crucial idea in management literature. With the ultimate aim of surviving and flourishing, it speaks of an organization's capacity to foresee, prepare for, react to, and adjust to both planned and unanticipated disturbances as well as little changes. Lengnick-Hall and Beck (2005) define organizational resiliency as not just about recovering to a pre-crisis state but also about transformation and growth, therefore helping the company to come out stronger following hardship. From this point of view, resilience is seen as a dynamic capacity including adaptive, reactive, and proactive responses.

Resilient companies show a forward-looking attitude, participate in ongoing education, and help to create an innovative and flexible culture. They are not only ready to handle interruptions but also use crises as chances to reevaluate plans, reorganize activities, and fund new competencies development. Strong organizational culture, flexible leadership, good risk management techniques, and the capacity to efficiently reallocate resources in response to changing circumstances are common key features of resilient companies. These qualities help companies to keep consistency and competitiveness even under difficult situations.

2.2. Historical Viewpoints on Economic Crises

Periodically occurring throughout modern history, economic recessions have sometimes caused major disturbances across sectors and economies. Analyzing significant recessions—such as the Great Depression of the 1930s, the Dot-com Bubble of the early 2000s, the Global Financial Crisis of 2008–2009, and the recession brought on by the COVID-19 epidemic in 2020—helps one to see a spectrum of organizational reactions, from catastrophic failures to amazing turnarounds.

For instance, the Great Depression brought about widespread unemployment and business closures; nonetheless, organizations with solid financial bases and flexible leadership survived. While companies with scalable technologies and customer-centric strategies—like Amazon—emerged stronger during the Dot-com Bubble, many Internet startups failed because of unsustainable business models. While the 2008 Global Financial Crisis destroyed the real estate and banking industries, it also spurred more strict regulatory policies and a tsunami of financial technology innovation. Likewise, businesses including Netflix, Zoom, and Shopify swiftly adjusted to the digital change and saw fast expansion during the COVID-19 recession; others battled to control supply chain interruptions and changing consumer behavior.

These historical events highlight the strategic value of resilience as shown by Companies that kept operational agility, matched with long-term trends, and aggressively invested in innovation were more suited to weather shocks and seize new prospects. The insights gained from these eras support an increasing amount of studies on how companies could create and maintain resilience by means of strategic foresight and flexibility.

2.3 is Philosophical Models.

Knowing organizational resilience during recession calls also calls for a foundation in accepted theoretical models. Many important theories shed light on how companies grow and maintain resilience in the face of outside challenges.
Developed by Barney (1991), the Resource-Based View (RBV) holds that having valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) resources helps companies to create a sustained competitive advantage. Within the framework of recessions, the RBV advises companies with strong internal capabilities—such as intellectual capital, brand recognition, and technology infrastructure—are better suited to negotiate downturns. Resilient companies make smart use of their resource endowments, using core skills to keep customer loyalty and market relevance under trying circumstances.

Emphasizing the requirement of companies integrating, developing, and reconfiguring internal and external competences in response to fast-changing surroundings, Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997) presented the Dynamic Capabilities Framework. This idea expands the RBV by stressing that resilience depends on the ability to adapt and innovate rather than only resource possession. Dynamic capabilities comprise identifying opportunities and dangers, grabbing chances by means of investment and transformation, and managing change by means of resource alignment. Organizations with dynamic capabilities can pivot fast, modify their value offerings, and realign their corporate models to fit new realities during recessionary times.

Contingency Theory holds that the performance of an organization depends on the alignment between its internal structure and external environment; so, there is no one-size-fits-all strategy for organizational success (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). In recessionary times, this viewpoint is particularly pertinent since companies have to adjust their reactions to the particular nature of the crisis, industry traits, and organizational capabilities. For example, strategic innovation investment may be the preferable solution in one scenario, while cost-cutting could be suitable in another. Resilient companies are those that can effectively evaluate their surroundings and apply context-appropriate plans while keeping strategic fit.

Synthesis and Conventions and Conventions

These models taken together show the several aspects of organizational resilience. Dynamic Capabilities highlight adaptation and change; the RBV stresses the strategic value of internal resources. Contingency Theory, meanwhile, advocates situational awareness and tailored strategic reactions. Combining these theoretical points of view offers a whole knowledge of how resilience is developed, maintained, and triggered during recession.
These literary ideas provide the conceptual basis for this study, which seeks to explore the processes by which companies create and implement resilience plans during recessionary times. Through an analysis of historical cases as well as modern practices, the study aims to add to the current conversation on organizational sustainability, agility, and crisis readiness.

This paper uses a qualitative research design to look at the traits and approaches supporting organizational resilience in recessionary times. This study aligns well with a qualitative method, as it allows for the investigation of complex, context-dependent events related to organizational behavior, strategic decision-making, and adaptability under pressure. By means of qualitative research, the project seeks to provide rich, detailed insights on how companies negotiate, survive, and flourish during recessionary times.

Two primary methodological components—case study technique and secondary data analysis—are combined in the research design. Examining and synthesizing current data sources in secondary data analysis helps one to find trends, insights, and patterns about organizational resilience. This covers a thorough reading of scholarly research, industry reports, firm performance studies, and past business reactions to previous recessions. The study uses a wide spectrum of viewpoints and documented evidence by depending on secondary data, so it is free from the time- and resource-intensive primary data collecting limitations.

The second fundamental component of the approach is case studies. By means of thorough analysis of a few companies that have experienced noteworthy economic downturns—such as the Global Financial Crisis of 2008 or the COVID-19 recession in 2020—the study aims to expose practical implementations of resilience-building techniques. These case studies were selected depending on the visibility of the company throughout recession times, public data availability, and proof of a strategy shift or continuous performance in the face of economic difficulties. Examined for their decision-making habits, operational changes, and leadership responses were companies such as Apple, Amazon, Netflix, and others displaying strategic agility and long-term vision during recessionary times.

Peer-reviewed academic journals, business magazines, financial databases, and corporate reports were among the several credible sources from which the data for this study came. These materials offered thorough coverage of both theoretical and pragmatic aspects of resilience, encapsulating ideas on how companies saw hazards, reacted to risks, and reorganized activities during times of economic instability. The reputation and variety of these sources guaranteed a fair and sophisticated knowledge of the topic.

Content analysis was used methodically to go over and understand textual material in data analysis. Finding reoccurring themes, strategic ideas, and organizational behaviors that regularly surfaced across several cases and data sources was the aim. We then arranged these findings into logical groups using thematic classification, thereby reflecting important elements of organizational resilience. Consistent across several data sets were themes including leadership agility, innovation, financial discipline, digital transformation, and workforce flexibility.

By connecting empirical data to accepted frameworks, including the Resource-Based View, Dynamic Capabilities Theory, and Contingency Theory, this methodological approach not only enables the discovery of optimal practices but also helps to create theory by Combining data-rich case studies with thorough thematic analysis seeks to provide both scholarly insights and pragmatic advice on improving organizational resilience against economic recessions.

04. Results and Interpretive Analysis

The results of this research emphasize numerous important elements that support organizational resilience during economic downturns. Analyzing companies that had effectively negotiated earlier recessionary times helps the study find a set of linked factors supporting strong behavior. These ideas cover strategic flexibility, corporate culture, human capital management, financial readiness, and leadership agility.

Financial preparation is one of the most noticeable features of strong companies. Businesses that entered recessionary times with high cash reserves, diversified income sources, and low debt-to-equity ratios were more suited to keep going and grab fresh prospects. For the 2008 Global Financial Crisis, for example, companies with significant liquidity not only survived temporary shocks but also made strategic investments in acquisitions, R&D, or market development. For these companies, financial flexibility allowed long-term planning even in the middle of uncertainty and acted as a cushion against market volatility.

Resilience also turned out to be much influenced by decision-making and leadership. Agile, open, and responsive leaders showed organizations more ability to properly handle crises. Leaders who kept trust and coordination by often and precisely communicating with stakeholders—including staff, investors, and consumers—were able to Moreover, those that welcomed flexible plans and adapted effectively to change were more successful in reducing risks. These results coincide with the ideas of dynamic capabilities, in which navigating turbulence mostly depends on fast sense-making and reconfiguration of resources.

Especially in recent recessions like the COVID-19 downturn, innovation and digital transformation were absolutely vital. Organizations that had already made investments in e-commerce platforms, remote work infrastructure, and digital customer engagement tools saw less disturbance as the crisis hastened digital adoption throughout businesses.

Companies that embraced virtual collaboration tools and cloud computing, for instance, were able to keep running effectively even with extensive lockdowns and mobility restrictions. In the post-recession setting, innovation not only guaranteed operational continuity but also created fresh growth opportunities.

Organizational resilience was also much influenced by human capital management. Resilient companies concentrated on keeping and growing their workers instead of using big-scale layoffs. Investing in cross-functional training, employee engagement initiatives, and flexible work schedules, they helped to preserve morale and output in trying circumstances. This strategy also guaranteed the company kept important institutional knowledge and skills required for innovation and recovery. Strong correlation between organizational adaptability and performance was discovered to be observed between high degrees of employee involvement.

Particularly in terms of product, service, and market diversification, strategic adaptability lets companies quickly change course in reaction to situations. Businesses that changed their models—such as moving production to critical items like personal protective equipment (PPE) during COVID-19—showcased great operational adaptability. Resilient companies across several case studies were distinguished by their capacity to reorient strategy in real time and change resources.

Finally, efficient crisis management was much aided by a strong corporate culture anchored in resilience, learning, and shared values. By emphasizing innovation, purpose, and customer centricity, cultures helped match staff members with business goals and enabled consistent use of adaptive techniques. These cultural roots gave a feeling of stability and direction in times of uncertainty, therefore improving the organization's potential for recovery and expansion.
All things considered, the results imply that organizational resilience in recessionary times is a complex concept molded by financial situation, strategic vision, leadership, worker involvement, and culture. These components interact to allow companies not only to withstand economic shocks but also to change and come out more competitive following them.

3. Case Stories

Case studies of companies that showed resilience during significant economic downturns offer insightful analysis of sensible tactics and behavior during crises. These illustrations stress the need for creativity, leadership, strategic flexibility, and cultural strength in effectively negotiating recessionary times.

Amazon (2008–2009 Global Financial Crisis) surfaced as a major player in e-commerce while many stores battled to survive during the financial crisis. Long-term strategic emphasis on customer happiness, operational efficiency, and aggressive innovation helped the organization to be resilient. The introduction of the Kindle e-reader marked a significant milestone as it not only expanded Amazon's digital network but also established its leadership in digital publishing. Amazon kept spending on infrastructure, technology, and worldwide development instead of withdrawing in the face of uncertainty. Its strong logistical skills, customer-centric strategy, and dedication to innovation helped it to acquire notable market share and come out stronger following the recession.

Among the companies that prospered during the COVID-19 epidemic is Netflix (2020). Widespread lockdowns and growing demand for home entertainment allowed Netflix to leverage its current strengths: a scalable digital delivery platform, a strong worldwide presence, and an agile content-producing pipeline. To appeal to different regions, the corporation rapidly changed its marketing plans, sped up the creation of foreign content, and made investments in localized products. Its durability came from its adaptability to shifting consumer behavior and from its readiness—having already moved from physical media to streaming. Consequently, Netflix not only kept its current membership but also recorded an unprecedented user increase during the epidemic.

Starbucks (2008–2009 Global Financial Crisis) suffered major difficulties during the 2008 recession because of decreased customer expenditure and overexpanding activities. But under Howard Schultz's returning leadership, the business started a calculated turnaround. It refocused on basic brand principles, including customer experience, product quality, and employee engagement; closed failing stores; and simplified its processes. Starbucks saw the recession as a chance to deepen its base instead of compromising its character. Sustained long-term expansion and strengthened consumer loyalty were made possible by investments in employee training, ethical sourcing, and digital engagement.

Zoom Video Communications (2020 COVID-19 Pandemic) Zoom emerged as one of the most recognizable winners during the COVID-19 outbreak. The company scaled quickly to meet a significant increase in users as remote work and virtual meetings became the standard. The simplicity, dependability, and freemium approach of Zoom—which drew millions of new users from both personal and business sectors—built its success. Though first worried about security, Zoom answered quickly by improving privacy tools and forming alliances with companies, governments, and colleges. Its agility and user-centric innovation helped it to be a central platform in the remote work age, able to meet hitherto unheard-of demand.

Multiple Recessionary Periods Unilever is a convincing illustration of a strong worldwide company committed to sustainability and innovation over the long run. Particularly in developing regions, Unilever has shown a constant capacity to modify its product mix to meet changing consumer needs over several recessions. In lean times, the business deliberately stressed social responsibility programs, localized marketing, and reasonably priced product lines. Its sustainable living approach helped lower running expenses, in addition to supporting brand perception. Unilever's combination of social effect, cost control, and market response has helped them to keep steady performance over economic upheaval.

Procter & Gamble (2008–2009 and COVID-19) P&G stayed strong during the 2008 financial crisis and the COVID-19 recession by using its wide range of products and thorough consumer insights. The business concentrated on basics like cleaning supplies and personal hygiene, which saw constant demand even in tough times. While streamlining supply chains and retail alliances, P&G kept investing in advertising, creativity, and brand-building initiatives. Its strategy of emphasizing consumer basics combined with ongoing product innovation helped the business to be profitable and keep high brand equity in challenging environments.

Though not a financial recession per se, Toyota's performance throughout the 2008–2009 crisis and its recovery from the 2011 earthquake define manufacturing resilience. The Just-in- Time manufacturing system of the corporation was under great strain, but Toyota responded with quick supply chain restructuring, smart alliances, and investment in redundancy planning. Toyota reduced expenses during the financial crisis without sacrificing R&D, allowing its hybrid and fuel-efficient vehicle development to continue—which proved wise as environmental issues became more important. Toyota's long-term plan and dedication to ongoing Kaizen have always helped them to negotiate difficulty.

6. Advisory Notes

The results of this study clearly show that intentional strategic decisions and forward-looking planning define organizational resilience during economic downturns rather than it being accidental. Organizations have to take a proactive approach to improve their capacity to resist and bounce back from economic downturns, focusing on financial discipline, leadership agility, technological investment, workforce development, and organized crisis planning. These suggestions are meant to help companies to increase their capacity for resilience.

First and most importantly, financial readiness has to be given strategic top priority. During times of economic development, companies should concentrate on creating and preserving robust financial buffers. This covers guaranteeing sufficient liquidity, preserving good cash reserves, and reducing excessive debt. During recessions, over-leveraging during upturns can put businesses in severe financial peril. Through rigorous financial management, companies can build the flexibility required to withstand shocks, maintain operations, and fund development prospects during recessionary times.

As equally vital is the evolution of nimble leadership. Crisis calls for leaders to negotiate ambiguity and make quick, well-informed judgments. Training initiatives that give leaders crisis management, scenario planning, and adaptive decision-making tools should be investments made by companies. Encouragement of a culture of distributed power and quick response helps teams to move fast in the event of unanticipated disturbances. Leadership agility is not only reactive; it also entails developing at all levels of management a resilient, accountable, and always-learning attitude.

Digital transformation is not discretionary in the corporate world of today—it is rather necessary. Organizations have to see technical infrastructure as a basic resilience enabler. Digital tools, cloud computing, cybersecurity, data analytics, and e-commerce platform investments will greatly improve the agility of a company. Companies that had earlier embraced digital solutions were able to shift fast, keep continuity, and even grow their offerings during the

COVID-19 epidemic. Rather than one-off technological improvements, constant digital evolution guarantees that companies stay flexible and relevant in a fast-changing environment.

An important pillar of resilience is giving human capital top priority. Employees drive organizational innovation, continuity, and recovery; they are not only resources. Businesses should fund projects aimed at employee well-being, psychological safety, upskill development, and cross-functional training. Employees who are engaged and empowered are more likely to show ingenuity, dedication, and flexibility under trying circumstances. Moreover, inclusive and encouraging workplace cultures enable companies to keep talent and maintain morale in the face of outside demands.
Organizations should also create a thorough resiliency playbook, lastly. This means developing thoroughly recorded backup plans for several possible recession conditions. Such plans should incorporate business continuity systems, specified reaction tactics, communication protocols, and supply chain risk evaluations. By means of regular testing and simulation activities, organizational readiness may be guaranteed, and existing system flaws can be found. A strong playbook turns theoretical resilience into useful preparedness.

Building resilience is, all things considered, a continuous effort needing vision, financial commitment, and cultural sensitivity. Organizations can improve their capacity not only to withstand economic recessions but also to come out of them stronger and more competitive by including these strategic ideas in their main activities.
Seventh: In conclusion

Artificial intelligence (AI) integration into supply chain operations has become a transforming factor, generating formerly unheard-of degrees of resilience, efficiency, and creativity. From economic recessions to fast-changing consumer expectations, AI technologies provide businesses the means to remain adaptable, responsive, and competitive as the global economy negotiates difficult hurdles. Dynamic pricing, inventory control, supply chain fraud detection, sustainability, digital twins, and human-AI cooperation were among several important fields where artificial intelligence has a major influence investigated in this work.

The capacity of artificial intelligence to maximize decision-making procedures by means of data-driven intelligence is one of the main revelations of this work. Especially those using predictive analytics and machine learning, artificial intelligence algorithms can remarkably accurately estimate demand patterns. This helps companies lower waste and save expenses by better matching their procurement, manufacturing, and distribution policies with real-time market needs. Dynamic pricing systems also help businesses to change their product prices in response to changing supply-demand dynamics, therefore improving profitability and consumer satisfaction.

AI has become absolutely essential in the field of supply chain fraud detection in spotting anomalies and suspicious transactions, so guaranteeing more security and openness. Contract analysis and compliance monitoring are also benefiting from Natural Language Processing (NLP), which simplifies procurement processes, thereby reducing legal risks.

Driven by artificial intelligence, the idea of the circular supply chain has also been investigated as a strategy to reach sustainable operations. By helping companies monitor the lifetime of goods and resources, artificial intelligence promotes reuse, recycling, and lessening of environmental impact. When combined with artificial intelligence, digital twin technology allows real-time supply chain system simulation and monitoring, therefore creating a virtual environment for strategy testing and future disruption anticipation.

Furthermore, the changing character of human-AI cooperation emphasizes a turn toward augmented decision-making. AI improves human knowledge rather than replacing it; it provides insights that enable managers to make quicker and wiser decisions. This cooperative approach encourages creativity and lets supply chain experts concentrate on high-value strategic projects.

Even with the great advantages, it is important to understand the difficulties in adopting artificial intelligence. Proactively addressing issues, including data quality, integration complexity, ethical questions, and workforce upskill needs, is important. Companies also have to set governance structures to guarantee appropriate artificial intelligence use consistent with corporate values and legal requirements.

In essence, the use of artificial intelligence in supply chain management is not only a technical development but also a strategic need for companies trying to survive in a linked and volatile environment. As this study shows, artificial intelligence helps supply chains to become more flexible, sustainable, safe, and consumer-centric. Organizations that invest in AI capabilities, encourage cross-functional cooperation, and adopt a culture of lifelong learning will be well suited to seize the possibilities given by intelligent supply chain transformation going forward.

References

[1] Choi, T. M., Wang, Y., Wallace, S. W. 2018 here. Big Data Analytics for Operational Management Production and Operations Management, 27(10), 1868–1889.

[2] Ivanov, D.; Dolgi, A.; Sokolov, B. 2019. Digital technologies and Industry 4.0 influence the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. Journal of Production Research internationally, 57(3), 829–846.

[3] Agrawal, R.; Gautham, L.; & Mohan, K. 2021. Supply chain management machine learning: a bibliometric analysis and review. Industrial engineering and computers, 155, 107113.

[4] Min, H., 2010, is the year Theory and Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Supply Chain Management Global Journal of Logistics, 13(2), 85–96.

[5] Wamba, S. F.; Gunasekaran, A.; Akter, S.; Ren, S. J.; Dubey, R.; Childe, S. J. 2017. Firm performance and big data analytics: consequences of dynamic capabilities Journal of Business Research, 70, 350–365.

[6] Deloitte. (2022). AI-powered supply chains: getting ready for the difficulties of tomorrow. Deloitte Ideas.

[7] McKinsey & Company. 2021. The evolving digital supply chain. Institute of McKinsey Global Vision.

[8] M. Christopher, M. (2016). Fifth edition Logistics and Supply Chain Management, Pearson's Education.

[9] Chen, D. Q.; Preston, D. S.; & Swink, M. 2015 here. The Impact of Big Data Analytics on Value Creation in Supply Chain Management Managers Information Systems Journal, 32(4), 4–39.

[10] IBM is 2020. Artificial intelligence-driven supply chains usher in a new era of efficiency. IBM White paper.

[11] Kache, F., and Seuring, S. 2017 here. The study explores the opportunities and challenges that digital knowledge presents when it intersects with supply chain management and big data analytics. Operations and Production Management International Journal, 37(1), 10–36.

[12] Gunasekaran, A.; Yusuf, Y.; Adeleye, E. O.; Papadopoulos, T. (2018). Agile manufacturing techniques: the function of business analytics and big data with several case examples. 56 (1-2). International Journal of Production Research, 385–397.

[13] Lee, H. L.: 2004 is here. The Triple-A Chain of Supply Lines Harvard Business Review, 82 (10), 102–112.

[14] S. Kumar & Wenzel, M. 2020 comes first. We are using artificial intelligence in supply networks to make them more sustainable. Journal of Cleaner Production, 276, 122962.

[15] Accendant. (2023). The digital twins in the supply chain will help define logistics' course. Research from Accenture.

[16] D. Zhang and X. Zhao. In 2021. Artificial intelligence for supply chain resilience: Research agenda and methodical review Research on operations management, 14(3), 242–259.

[17] Bigdeli, A. Z.; Kapoor, K.; Schroeder, A.; & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). a digital supply chain seen from a socio-technical perspective. Information Management International Journal, 58, 102264.

[18] SAP: (2022) Human and artificial intelligence working together in logistics. White Paper about SAP.

[19] PwC here. Twenty-23 Trusting artificial intelligence in supply chains means juggling ethics with innovation. PwC Thoughts.

[20] Baryannis, G.; Dani, S.; & Antoniou, G. (2019). Artificial intelligence and predictive analytics in supply chain management: Review and future implications. Computers and industrial engineering, 137, 106024.

[21] Porter, M. E.; Heppermann, J. E. 2015). How Clever, Connected Products Are Changing Businesses. The Harvard Business Review, 93(10), 96–114.

[22] Tan, K. H.; Zhan, Y.; Ji, G.; Ye, F.; Chang, C. 2015: Using big data to improve supply chain innovation capacity: a deduction graph-based analytical framework International Journal of Production Economics, 265, 223–233.

[23] Accency. 2020. AI Changing Supply Chain Operating Models strategy of Accenture.

[24] Tjahjono, B.; Esplugues, C.; Ares, E.; & Pelaez, G. (2017) To what extent does Industry 4.0 refer to supply chains? 13, 1175–1182 Procedia Manufacturing

[25] Caniato, F.; Golini, R.; Kalchschmidt, M. The study, "Luxury Industry Supply Chain Management: First Classification of Businesses and Their Policies," was published in the International Journal of Production Economics in 2008. 114(2), 424–438 International Journal of Production Economics.